SEPP 64 Assessment

Relevant Control		Compliance with Requirements	Complies
	Is the proposal compatible with the	The proposal is compatible with	
	existing or desired future character	the business zoning of the site and	
	of the area or locality in which it is	its location within the Parramatta	
	proposed to be located?	Road Precinct. The signage	Yes
		comprises business identification	
1 Character of		signage to identify the building	
the area		from Parramatta Road and also for	
		patrons to navigate the entrance to	
		the building from its Percy Street	
	In the open and consistent with a	frontage.	
	Is the proposal consistent with a particular theme for outdoor	The signage is consistent with the	
	•	business theme along Parramatta Road.	
	advertising in the area or locality? Does the proposal detract from the	The proposed signage is not in	
	amenity or visual quality of any	proximity to any environmentally	
	environmentally sensitive areas,	sensitive areas, natural or	
	heritage areas, natural or other	conservation areas, open space	N/A
	conservation areas, open space	areas, waterways or rural	
0 Omenial control	areas, waterways, rural landscapes	landscapes.	
2 Special areas	or residential areas?	No signage is proposed on the St	
		Hilliers Road frontage and the	
		proposal therefore has no impact	
		on the adjoining residential area on	
		the opposite side of St Hilliers	
		Road.	
	Does the proposal obscure or	The proposed signage does not	
	compromise important views?	obscure or compromise any important views. The signage is	
	Does the proposal dominate the	fixed to the building and does not	
	skyline and reduce the quality of	protrude above the building line.	Yes
3 Views and vistas	vistas?	The fact that the signage is	100
VISIOS		attached to the facades of the	
	Does the proposal respect the	building results in the signage	
	viewing rights of other advertisers?	respecting the viewing rights of	
		other advertisers.	
	Is the scale, proportion and form of	The signage is proposed to be	
	the proposal appropriate for the	fixed to the building to identify the	
	streetscape, setting or landscape?	proposed building from Parramatta	
		Road and the entrance from Percy	
	Does the proposal contribute to the	Street. The signage is wholly	
	visual interest of the streetscape,	contained within the site and is	
	setting or landscape?	considered appropriate for the	
	Does the proposal reduce clutter by	business setting of the site.	
4 Streetscape,	rationalising and simplifying existing	There is no existing and exitation	
setting or	advertising?	There is no existing advertising.	Vee
landscape		The proposed signage does not	Yes
	Does the proposal screen	screen unsightliness.	
	unsightliness?		
		The proposed signage does not	
		protrude above the proposed	
		buildings.	
		The signs will not require ongoing	
		vegetation management, they are	
		fixed to the building.	
		-	

	Does the proposal protrude above buildings, structures or tree canopies in the area or locality? Does the proposal require ongoing vegetation management?		
5 Site and building	Is the proposal compatible with the scale, proportion and other characteristics of the site or building, or both, on which the proposed signage is to be located? Does the proposal respect important features of the site or building, or both?	The proposed signage is has been rationalised to serve a functional purpose, i.e. by identifying the building from Parramatta Road and also making the building entrance easily identifiable. The signs are proportionate to the proposed buildings on the site. The signs are site responsive.	Yes
	Does the proposal show innovation and imagination in its relationship to the site or building, or both?	The layout and design of the proposed signage has consideration to the layout of the site, having regard to the building and site entrance.	
6 Associated devices and logos with advertisements and advertising structures	Have any safety devices, platforms, lighting devices or logos been designed as an integral part of the signage or structure on which it is to be displayed?	One of the signs proposes the incorporation of lighting through the use of LEDs in the lettering that is to be affixed to the building. The second sign is proposed to be illuminated by an exterior flood light. The signage comprises business identification signage and no advertisements or advertising structures are proposed as part of the development.	Yes
7 Illumination	Would illumination result in unacceptable glare? Would illumination affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft? Would illumination detract from the amenity of any residence or other form of accommodation? Can the intensity of the illumination be adjusted, if necessary? Is the illumination subject to a curfew?	the development. The illuminated signage will not result in unacceptable glare, given the signage is lit from within the site. None of the signage protrudes above the buildings and their illumination does not have the potential to affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft. None of the illuminated signage has a frontage to residential land. The illumination is not subject to a curfew, standard conditions of consent could be imposed to manage the intensity of the lighting to ensure no adverse impacts on the locality, however, the	Yes
		application is recommended for refusal.	

8 Safety	Would the proposal reduce the safety for any public road? Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians or bicyclists? Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians, particularly children, by obscuring sightlines from public areas?	The proposed signage does not have the potential to reduce the safety of Parramatta Road, St Hilliers Road or Percy Street. The signage is setback from the road and affixed to the building, so as not to adversely impact the safety of pedestrians and cyclists. The proposed signage does not have the potential to the safety of pedestrians, as it does not obscure sightlines from public areas.	Yes
----------	--	--	-----